

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2002) KLR VOL 4 PART 137 pp. 951 - 1098

APRIL 2002

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

APRIL CONTD.

2. Abubakar v. Unipetrol Nig Plc p. 951
3. African Continental Bank Plc v. Emostrate Ltd p. 959
4. Adeleke v. Asani p. 973
5. Ogboh v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 991
6. Okoli v. Okoli p. 1005
7. Onyeabuchi v. INEC p. 1023
8. Sabru Motors Nig Ltd v. Rajab Enterprises Nig Ltd p. 1043
9. Uguru v. State p. 1065

COURT OF APPEAL

African Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Ezelue p. 1085 CA

ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 4 KLR

ACTIONS - Representative action - Continuity of - Death of person suing or defending in representative capacity - Does not bar others having interest in such action - From continuing with same (H1) Okoli v. Okoli p. 1005

APPEALS - Actions - Institution - Juristic personality - Failure to prove - Fate - Since respondent failed to prove juristic personality - The action should be struck out (H4) African Continental Bank Plc v. Emostrade Ltd p. 959

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Supreme Court does not interfere - Save the findings are found to be perverse - Or has caused a miscarriage of justice (H2) Adeleke v. Asani p. 973

APPEALS - Damages - Assessment - By s.16 Court of Appeal Act & s.22 Supreme Court Act - Appellate Court can substitute its own assessment - Where it decides to interfere (H1) Sabru Motors Nig Ltd v. Rajab Enterprises Nig Ltd p. 1043

APPEALS - Damages - Quantum - Appellate court does not interfere - Save if it is satisfied that trial court has acted on wrong principle of law - And has made an erroneous estimate (H2) Sabru Motors Nig Ltd v. Rajab Enterprises Nig Ltd p. 1043

APPEALS - Grounds - Framing - Appellant must clearly set out his grounds - So as to enable opposite party and court - To appreciate his complaint (H1) Adeleke v. Asani p. 973

APPEALS - Orders of court - Mischief - Remedy - Respondent need not appeal to remedy the mischief - Since mandatory injunction can be used - To reverse step taken by appellant (H1) Abubakar v. Unipetrol Nig Plc p. 951

COMPANY LAW - Legal personality - Proof - Certificate of incorporation ought to have been produced by respondent - As that was the only way to prove its legal personality (H3) African Continental Bank Plc v. Emostrade Ltd p. 959

COURT PROCESSES - Abuse of - Appellant's use of ex parte order to obtain release of judgment debt - While an application is pending at Court of Appeal against same - Was intended to render appellate court helpless (H2) *Abubakar v. Unipetrol Nig Plc* p. 951

COURTS - Appeals - Actions - Subject matter - Need to preserve - Court must see that the res is preserved - Especially where execution of successful appeal will be impossible (H3) *Abubakar v. Unipetrol Nig Plc* p. 951

COURTS - Fair hearing - Allegation of breach - Sustainability - Mistake of trial judge in wrong usage of evidence - Did not contravene principles of fair hearing - And will not vitiate the trial proceedings (H4) *Uguru v. State* p. 1065

COURTS - Issues - Binding nature of - Resolution - Court must limit itself to issues at stake - And should refrain from indulging in extraneous matters (H2) *African Continental Bank Plc v. Emostrate Ltd* p. 959

COURTS - Powers - Stay of proceedings - Discretion of court to stay proceedings - Is derived from inherent jurisdiction of court - And such must be sparingly exercised (H7) *Onyeabuchi v. INEC* p. 1023

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Charge - Amendment of - Fair hearing - Trial of appellant complied with rules of court - Hence here is nothing to justify allegation of unfair hearing (H3) *Uguru v. State* p. 1065

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Charge - Amendment of - Validity - Since prosecution complied with Criminal Procedure Law s. 163 - The amended charge is therefore valid (H2) *Uguru v. State* p. 1065

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Charges - Amendment of - Prosecution does not need leave to amend charges - But applies to court to accept the amendment - Pursuant to Criminal Procedure Law s. 163 (H1) *Uguru v. State* p. 1065

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Fair hearing - Right to counsel - Breach -

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 4 KLR**

Appellants were not given adequate opportunity - To be represented by legal practitioner of choice (H1) *Ogboh v. Federal Republic of Nigeria* p. 991

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Unlawful assault - Conviction - Correctness of - Accused can be rightly convicted of the offence pursuant to Criminal Code s. 355 - Although he was not specifically charged with same (H10) *Uguru v. State* p. 1065

DAMAGES - Quantum - Computation of - Measure of damages will be determined by difference between contract price - And current market price - Or price when the vehicles ought to have been delivered (H3) *Sabru Motors Nig Ltd v. Rajab Enterprises Nig Ltd* p. 1043

ESTOPPEL - Res judicata - Applicability - Where a question is determined to finality - Even if such did not determine rights and liabilities in a suit - Doctrine of estoppel will still be applicable (H5) *Onyeabuchi v. INEC* p. 1023

ESTOPPEL - Res judicata - Issue estoppel - Applicability - Since issue of jurisdiction has been finally determined - Appellant was rightly estopped from raising the same issue (H6) *Onyeabuchi v. INEC* p. 1023

ESTOPPEL - Res judicata - Principle - *Ojo v. Abadie* - Once it is clear that the same question is substantially in issue in two suits - Estoppel subsists between parties (H4) *Onyeabuchi v. INEC* p. 1023

FAIR HEARING - Constitution - Breach - Effect - 1979 Constitution s. 33(6)(c) - Trial of appellants is in breach of the section - Hence it is a nullity (H4) *Ogboh v. Federal Republic of Nigeria* p. 991

FAIR HEARING - Courts - Right to counsel - Necessity of - Right to counsel is fundamental to fair trial - Hence appellants' case would have been different - If represented by counsel (H2) *Ogboh v. Federal Republic of Nigeria* p. 991

FAIR HEARING - Right to counsel - Waiver - Appellants did not waive

the right - As can be seen from facts of the trial (H3) *Ogboh v. Federal Republic of Nigeria* p. 991

JUDGMENTS - Finality of - Test - *Res judicata* - *Fadiora v. Gbadebo* - If court that gave a decision cannot vary or set aside same - Then such decision is considered as final one (H3) *Onyeabuchi v. INEC* p. 1023

MURDER - Cause of death - Failure to prove - No evidence was given at trial as to nature of injuries sustained by deceased - Hence cause of the death was not proved (H8) *Uguru v. State* p. 1065

MURDER - Cause of death - Proof - Death can be established by direct or circumstantial evidence - But nothing shows that act of appellant - Caused the death of deceased (H6) *Uguru v. State* p. 1065

MURDER - Cause of death - Proof - Direct evidence - Such evidence as post mortem result - Must connect death of deceased with act of accused (H7) *Uguru v. State* p. 1065

MURDER - Ingredients - Proof - Prosecution must establish death of deceased - And an intentional act or omission of accused - Which caused the death (H5) *Uguru v. State* p. 1065

PLEADINGS - Issues - Proof - Burden of - When issues are joined in pleadings - Evidence is needed to prove same - And duty is on person who raised the issues - To adduce satisfactory evidence (H1) *African Continental Bank Plc v. Emostrate Ltd* p. 959

RES JUDICATA - Judgments - Binding nature - Once judgment is final - Whatever it decided as between parties - Is conclusive and binding (H1) *Onyeabuchi v. INEC* p. 1023

RES JUDICATA - Plea of - Condition precedent - For there to be valid plea - Judgment being relied upon - Must be a final one (H2) *Onyeabuchi v. INEC* p. 1023

SALE OF GOODS - Damages - Assessment - Sale of Goods Act s.

vi **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 4 KLR**

51(3) - Application - Since trial judge failed to apply the provision - Opportunity was given to Court of Appeal to reassess the damages (H5) Sabru Motors Nig Ltd v. Rajab Enterprises Nig Ltd p. 1043

SALE OF GOODS - Pleadings - Admission - Effect - Since defendant admitted the vehicle price - Further proof of the price is not required (H4) Sabru Motors Nig Ltd v. Rajab Enterprises Nig Ltd p. 1043

COURT OF APPEAL

CONTRACTS - Licence - Breach - Damages - Licensee cannot by injunction enforce right to occupation - But he may claim damages for breach of contract (H3) African Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Ezelue p. 1085 CA

CONTRACTS - Licence - Revocation - Licensee who remains on land after his licence expires or is revoked - Is a trespasser (H4) African Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Ezelue p. 1085 CA

LANDLORD & TENANT - Tenancy - Creation - Basis - Intention of parties decides whether tenancy has been created - Or the relationship is merely that of licensor and licensee (H5) African Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Ezelue p. 1085 CA

MASTER & SERVANT - Contract of service - Termination - Where no period of notice of termination is provided - Then reasonable notice is inferred - Subject to status of employment (H2) African Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Ezelue p. 1085 CA

MASTER & SERVANT - Tenancy - Creation of - Where accommodation is provided for a servant to enhance his performance - No tenancy is intended (H1) African Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Ezelue p. 1085 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1979, s. 33(1) Uguru v. State p. 1065, s. 33(6) (c) Ogboh v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 991

Court of Appeal Act s. 16 Sabru Motors Nig Ltd v. Rajab Enterprises Nig Ltd p. 1043

Court of Appeal Rules, O. 3 r. 2 (7), O. 3 r. 2 (20) Adeleke v. Asani p. 973

Criminal Code Cap. 30 Laws of Eastern Nig 1963, ss. 179, 319(1) & 355 Uguru v. State p. 1065

Criminal Procedure Law, s.163 Uguru v. State p. 1065

Evidence Act Cap. 112 LFN 1990 s. 75 Sabru Motors Nig Ltd v. Rajab Enterprises Nig Ltd p. 1043

National Drug Law Enforcement Agency Decree No. 48 1989, s. 10(c) Ogboh v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 991

Sales of Goods Act, 1893 (U.K.) s. 51(3), 52 Sabru Motors Nig Ltd v. Rajab Enterprises Nig Ltd p. 1043

Supreme Court Act Cap. 424 LFN 1990, s. 26 Ogboh v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 991, s. 22 Sabru Motors Nig Ltd v. Rajab Enterprises Nig Ltd p. 1043

COURT OF APPEAL

High Court Law Cap. 61 Laws of Eastern Nigeria 1963, s. 25(1)(3) African Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Ezelue p. 1085 CA

Recovery of Premises Law Cap. 113 Laws of Eastern Nigeria 1963 African Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Ezelue p. 1085 CA